

Stronger (financial) incentives to deliver ship-generated waste at port reception facilities and to discourage dumping at sea

Case Studies collected by the International Conference on Prevention and Management of Marine Litter in European Seas

Geographical area targeted: Scale of Implementation: Global Commencement Date: End Date Objectives: Type of Initiative: Type of Marine Litter targeted: Location of the litter targeted: Load based sources targeted: Land based sources Targeted: Land based sources Targeted: Land based sources Targeted: The Directive on Port Reception Facilities (2000/59/EC) sets key principles for the fees on ship generated waste (Art. 8): these could make a significant contribution to the costs of port reception facilities and waste management, and at the same time provide no incentive for ships to discharge their waste to the sea. (Under the Directive, fishing and recreational vessels can be exempted; they show that they undertake waste management at another port.) EMSA reports that waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities] EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered. At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive additions. EMSA underlines that PMF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: Port authorities Shipping companies; port waste management companies	Global	
Scale of Implementation: Commencement Date: End Date Objectives: Prevention of waste from entering the aquatic environment Type of Initiative: Command-and Control measures Type of Marine Litter targeted: Location of the litter targeted: Location of the litter targeted: Load based sources targeted: None Brief Description of the Initiative: The Directive on Port Reception Facilities (2000/59/EC) sets key principles for the fees on ship generated waste (Art. 8): these could make a significant contribution to the costs of port reception facilities and waste management, and at the same time provide no incentive for ships to discharge their waste to the sea. (Under the Directive, fishing and recreational vessels can be exempted, however; ships that make regular port calls, e.g. ferries, can be exempted if they show that they undertake waste management at another port.) EMSA reports that waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewes (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible Port authorities Financing: Port authorities	Geographical area	
Commencement Date: End Date Objectives: Prevention of waste from entering the aquatic environment Type of Initiative: Command-and Control measures Consumption waste All Location of the litter targeted: Land based sources targeted: Sea based sources Type of Description of the Initiative: The Directive on Port Reception Facilities (2000/59/EC) sets key principles for the fees on ship generated waste (Art. 8): these could make a significant contribution to the costs of port reception facilities and waste management, and at the same time provide no incentive for ships to discharge their waste to the sea. (Under the Directive, fishing and recreational vessels can be exempted, however; ships that make regular port calls, e.g. ferries, can be exempted if they show that they undertake waste management at another port.) EMSA reports that waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible Port authorities Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: Port authorities	targeted:	
End Date Objectives: Prevention of waste from entering the aquatic environment Type of Initiative: Command-and Control measures Type of Marine Litter targeted: Location of the litter targeted: Land based sources targeted: Sea based sources targeted: Sea based sources targeted: Brief Description of the Initiative: The Directive on Port Reception Facilities (2000/59/EC) sets key principles for the fees on ship generated waste (Art. 8): these could make a significant contribution to the costs of port reception facilities and waste management, and at the same time provide no incentive for ships to discharge their waste to the sea. (Under the Directive, fishing and recreational vessels can be exempted, however; ships that make regular port calls, e.g. ferries, can be exempted if they show that they undertake waste management at another port.) EMSA reports that waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Port authorities Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing:	Scale of Implementation:	Global
Objectives:	Commencement Date:	
Type of Initiative: Consumption waste Consumption waste Location of the litter targeted: Land based sources targeted: Sea based sources targeted: Brief Description of the Initiative: The Directive on Port Reception Facilities (2000/59/EC) sets key principles for the fees on ship generated waste (Art. 8): these could make a significant contribution to the costs of port reception facilities and waste management, and at the same time provide no incentive for ships to discharge their waste to the sea. (Under the Directive, fishing and recreational vessels can be exempted, however; ships that make regular port calls, e.g. ferries, can be exempted if they show that they undertake waste management at another port.) EMSA reports that waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Port authorities Organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: Shipping sector	End Date	
Type of Initiative: Consumption waste Consumption waste Location of the litter targeted: Land based sources targeted: Sea based sources targeted: Brief Description of the Initiative: The Directive on Port Reception Facilities (2000/59/EC) sets key principles for the fees on ship generated waste (Art. 8): these could make a significant contribution to the costs of port reception facilities and waste management, and at the same time provide no incentive for ships to discharge their waste to the sea. (Under the Directive, fishing and recreational vessels can be exempted, however; ships that make regular port calls, e.g. ferries, can be exempted if they show that they undertake waste management at another port.) EMSA reports that waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Port authorities Organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: Shipping sector	Objectives:	Prevention of waste from entering the aquatic environment
targeted: Location of the litter targeted: Land based sources targeted: Sea based sources targeted Brief Description of the Initiative: The Directive on Port Reception Facilities (2000/59/EC) sets key principles for the fees on ship generated waste (Art. 8): these could make a significant contribution to the costs of port reception facilities and waste management, and at the same time provide no incentive for ships to discharge their waste to the sea. (Under the Directive, fishing and recreational vessels can be exempted, however; ships that make regular port calls, e.g. ferries, can be exempted if they show that they undertake waste management at another port.) EMSA reports that waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Port authorities Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: Shipping companies; port waste management companies	Type of Initiative:	Command-and Control measures
Location of the litter targeted: Land based sources targeted: Sea based sources targeted: Sea based sources targeted Brief Description of the Initiative: The Directive on Port Reception Facilities (2000/59/EC) sets key principles for the fees on ship generated waste (Art. 8): these could make a significant contribution to the costs of port reception facilities and waste management, and at the same time provide no incentive for ships to discharge their waste to the sea. (Under the Directive, fishing and recreational vessels can be exempted, however; ships that make regular port calls, e.g. ferries, can be exempted if they show that they undertake waste management at another port.) EMSA reports that waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Port authorities Port authorities Shipping companies; port waste management companies	Type of Marine Litter	Consumption waste
targeted: Land based sources targeted: Sea based sources Ships Sare Description of the Initiative: Brief Description of the Initiative: The Directive on Port Reception Facilities (2000/59/EC) sets key principles for the fees on ship generated waste (Art. 8): these could make a significant contribution to the costs of port reception facilities and waste management, and at the same time provide no incentive for ships to discharge their waste to the sea. (Under the Directive, fishing and recreational vessels can be exempted, however; ships that make regular port calls, e.g. ferries, can be exempted if they show that they undertake waste management at another port.) EMSA reports that waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Port authorities Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing:	targeted:	·
Land based sources targeted: Sea based sources argeted Brief Description of the Initiative: The Directive on Port Reception Facilities (2000/59/EC) sets key principles for the fees on ship generated waste (Art. 8): these could make a significant contribution to the costs of port reception facilities and waste management, and at the same time provide no incentive for ships to discharge their waste to the sea. (Under the Directive, fishing and recreational vessels can be exempted, however; ships that make regular port calls, e.g. ferries, can be exempted if they show that they undertake waste management at another port.) EMSA reports that waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Port authorities Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: Shipping sector	Location of the litter	All
targeted: Sea based sources targeted Brief Description of the Initiative: The Directive on Port Reception Facilities (2000/59/EC) sets key principles for the fees on ship generated waste (Art. 8): these could make a significant contribution to the costs of port reception facilities and waste management, and at the same time provide no incentive for ships to discharge their waste to the sea. (Under the Directive, fishing and recreational vessels can be exempted, however; ships that make regular port calls, e.g. ferries, can be exempted if they show that they undertake waste management at another port.) EMSA reports that waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Port authorities Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: Shipping sector	targeted:	
Sea based sources targeted Brief Description of the Initiative: The Directive on Port Reception Facilities (2000/59/EC) sets key principles for the fees on ship generated waste (Art. 8): these could make a significant contribution to the costs of port reception facilities and waste management, and at the same time provide no incentive for ships to discharge their waste to the sea. (Under the Directive, fishing and recreational vessels can be exempted, however; ships that make regular port calls, e.g. ferries, can be exempted if they show that they undertake waste management at another port.) EMSA reports that waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea – e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Port authorities Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: Shipping sector	Land based sources	None
Brief Description of the Initiative: The Directive on Port Reception Facilities (2000/59/EC) sets key principles for the fees on ship generated waste (Art. 8): these could make a significant contribution to the costs of port reception facilities and waste management, and at the same time provide no incentive for ships to discharge their waste to the sea. (Under the Directive, fishing and recreational vessels can be exempted, however; ships that make regular port calls, e.g. ferries, can be exempted if they show that they undertake waste management at another port.) EMSA reports that waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea – e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Port authorities Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: Shipping sector	targeted:	
Brief Description of the Initiative: The Directive on Port Reception Facilities (2000/59/EC) sets key principles for the fees on ship generated waste (Art. 8): these could make a significant contribution to the costs of port reception facilities and waste management, and at the same time provide no incentive for ships to discharge their waste to the sea. (Under the Directive, fishing and recreational vessels can be exempted, however; ships that make regular port calls, e.g. ferries, can be exempted if they show that they undertake waste management at another port.) EMSA reports that waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Port authorities Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: Shipping sector	Sea based sources	Ships
Initiative: principles for the fees on ship generated waste (Art. 8): these could make a significant contribution to the costs of port reception facilities and waste management, and at the same time provide no incentive for ships to discharge their waste to the sea. (Under the Directive, fishing and recreational vessels can be exempted, however; ships that make regular port calls, e.g. ferries, can be exempted if they show that they undertake waste management at another port.) EMSA reports that waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: Shipping sector	targeted	
make a significant contribution to the costs of port reception facilities and waste management, and at the same time provide no incentive for ships to discharge their waste to the sea. (Under the Directive, fishing and recreational vessels can be exempted, however; ships that make regular port calls, e.g. ferries, can be exempted if they show that they undertake waste management at another port.) EMSA reports that waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Port authorities Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: Shipping sector	Brief Description of the	The Directive on Port Reception Facilities (2000/59/EC) sets key
and waste management, and at the same time provide no incentive for ships to discharge their waste to the sea. (Under the Directive, fishing and recreational vessels can be exempted, however; ships that make regular port calls, e.g. ferries, can be exempted if they show that they undertake waste management at another port.) EMSA reports that waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Port authorities Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: Shipping sector	Initiative:	principles for the fees on ship generated waste (Art. 8): these could
ships to discharge their waste to the sea. (Under the Directive, fishing and recreational vessels can be exempted, however; ships that make regular port calls, e.g. ferries, can be exempted if they show that they undertake waste management at another port.) EMSA reports that waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Port authorities Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: Shipping sector		make a significant contribution to the costs of port reception facilities
and recreational vessels can be exempted, however; ships that make regular port calls, e.g. ferries, can be exempted if they show that they undertake waste management at another port.) EMSA reports that waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Port authorities Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: Shipping sector		and waste management, and at the same time provide no incentive for
regular port calls, e.g. ferries, can be exempted if they show that they undertake waste management at another port.) EMSA reports that waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Port authorities Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: Shipping sector		ships to discharge their waste to the sea. (Under the Directive, fishing
undertake waste management at another port.) EMSA reports that waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Port authorities Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: Shipping sector		and recreational vessels can be exempted, however; ships that make
waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible Organizations: Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: Shipping sector		regular port calls, e.g. ferries, can be exempted if they show that they
under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: Shipping sector		undertake waste management at another port.) EMSA reports that
authorities maintain the central control over the waste management system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: ports, shipping sector		waste management in ports is often carried out by independent entities
system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: ports, shipping sector		under contract to the port itself; however, EMSA recommends that port
activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: ports, shipping sector		authorities maintain the central control over the waste management
terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: ports, shipping sector		system, including the cost recovery system, even if they outsource
irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: ports, shipping sector		activities to third entities) EMSA states that the best fee system, in
ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: ports, shipping sector		terms of the Directive's principles, is to charge each ship a flat fee
interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: ports, shipping sector		irrespective of the amount of waste delivered (possibly setting a limit to
incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Port authorities Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: ports, shipping sector		ensure that reasonable amounts are delivered). At the same time, some
levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Port authorities Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: ports, shipping sector		interviewees (e.g. in Barcelona) suggest a system whereby ships have an
covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: ports, shipping sector		incentive to not dump at sea - e.g. through fee reductions for higher
reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: ports, shipping sector		levels of waste. This can, however, create difficulties in terms of
that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: ports, shipping sector		covering the costs of port reception facilities. The Directive also allows
addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: ports, shipping sector		reductions in fees for ships with environmental management systems
addition, EMSA underlines that PRF fees and basis on which they are calculated should be transparent. Responsible organizations: Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: ports, shipping sector		that can demonstrate they produce reduced amounts of waste. In
calculated should be transparent. Responsible port authorities organizations: Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: ports, shipping sector		, ,
organizations: Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: ports, shipping sector		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Partner organizations: Shipping companies; port waste management companies Financing: ports, shipping sector	Responsible	Port authorities
Financing: ports, shipping sector	organizations:	
Financing: ports, shipping sector	Partner organizations:	Shipping companies; port waste management companies
Project URL and other	Financing:	ports, shipping sector
	Project URL and other	



Stronger (financial) incentives to deliver ship-generated waste at port reception facilities and to discourage dumping at sea

Case Studies collected by the International Conference on Prevention and Management of Marine Litter in European Seas

sources of information:	
Contributor and further	
contact person for	
request	
First name:	Tony
Last name:	Zamparutti
Organisation/Affiliation	Milieu
Job Title:	
Organisation Address:	15 rue Blanche Brussels 1050 Belgium
Pdf document:	
Literature:	Directive 2000/59/EC
	EMSA, Horizontal Assessment Report - Port Reception Facilities
	(Directive 2000/59/EC), Dec. 2010
	Barcelona workshop and interviews